

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/883,075 06/26/97 GOVIL s BERTEK3.0-02

HM42/0714

LERNER DAVID LITTENBERG KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD NJ 07090

EXAMINER WEBMAN E ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1615 DATE MAILED:

07/14/98

OFFICE ACTION SUM	IMARY
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matt accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453	ers, prosecution as to the merits is closed in O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	respond within the period for response will cause
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	•
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claims 1-f-	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
pplication Papers	
$\ \square$ Seé the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗌 approved 🔲 disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·*
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority of	documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	<u> </u>
received in this national stage application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.(C. § 119(e).
ttachment(s)	> .
☐ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	

Serial Number: 08/883,075

Art Unit: 1615

.III.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-28, 78-80, 83, 84, drawn to adhesive composition, classified in class 156, subclass 327.

II. Claims 29-3 λ, 40, drawn to layered patch, classified in class 602, subclass 52.

Claims 34-39, 81, drawn to method of making adhesive composition, classified in class 427, subclass 2.31.

- IV. Claims 41-48, drawn to method of making layered patch, classified in class 428, subclass 411.1.
- V. Claims 49-63, 82, drawn to method of making a crosslinked adhesive composition, classified in class 424, subclass 78.18.
- VI. Claims 64-77, drawn to crosslinked adhesive composition, classified in class 524, subclass 556.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II, VI are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as liquid bandage and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should

Serial Number: 08/883,075 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions VI and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as adhesive depot and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the

Serial Number: 08/883,075 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as one using an aqueous solvent.

Inventions IV and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make a materially different product such as one with a layer containing both the active and the deprotonating agent.

Inventions V and VI are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make a materially different product such as one containing an adhesive rubber.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claim 2 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising adhesive polymers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Serial Number: 08/883,075 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to M. H. Teschner on 5/29/98 at 3:25 P.M. to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicants' representative did not respond to Examiner's request to discuss the requirement by the close of business 6/2/98.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is (703) 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, T. K. Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

E. Webman:jmr

July 10, 1998

EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500